arXiv:math/0606759v3 [math.SG] 27 Jun 2007

ON SPECIAL CALIBRATED ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES AND MODULI SPACE

ADRIANO TOMASSINI AND LUIGI VEZZONI

Abstract. An *ω-admissible almost complex structure* on a $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold (M,ω) is a ω-calibrated almost complex structure J admitting a nowhere vanishing $\overline{\partial}_J$-closed $(n,0)$-form ψ. After giving some examples we consider the moduli space of admissible almost complex structures and we study infinitesimal deformations. As special case, we write down explicit computations for the complex torus.

1. Introduction

The interplay between symplectic and complex structures has been studied quite extensively in the last years (see e.g. [1], [2], [9], [10], [14] and the references therein). It is well known that on an a symplectic manifold (M,ω) there exist many almost complex structures J such that

$$\omega[x](v,w)=\omega[x](J_xv,J_xw)\,,\quad \omega[x](u,J_xu)>0\,, \tag{1}$$

for any $x\in M$, $v,w,u\in T_xM$, $u\neq 0$ (see e.g. [2], [9]). An almost complex structure J on a symplectic manifold (M,ω) is said to be *ω-calibrated* if it satisfies condition (1). Furthermore the existence of special holomorphic structures on a symplectic manifold (e.g. Kähler structures, Calabi-Yau structures) imposes strong conditions on the topology of the manifold. Hence, it is natural to consider the non-integrable case in order to have more flexible structures. In this context, the notion of symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold has been considered in [4], [5] and it appears as a natural generalization of Calabi-Yau manifold[1]. This generalization is different from that one given by Hitchin in [12] in the context of *generalized geometry*.

Namely, a *symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* is a symplectic manifold (M,ω) endowed with an ω-calibrated almost complex structure J and a complex volume form ψ covariantly constant with respect to the Chern connection of (M,J,ω). This is equivalent to have an almost Kähler manifold endowed with a $\overline{\partial}_J$-closed complex volume form. In dimension 6 this definition can be improved by requiring that the real part of the complex volume form be closed. Such structures are called *symplectic Half-flat*.

Date: February 2, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q60, 53D05, 58D27.

This work was supported by the Project M.I.U.R. "Geometric Properties of Real and Complex Manifolds" and by G.N.S.A.G.A. of I.N.d.A.M..

[1] In [5] symplectic Calabi-Yau manifolds were called *generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*. Here we change the terminology to avoid confusion with the case considered by Hitchin in [12]

In the present paper we study the moduli space of ω-calibrated almost complex structures admitting a symplectic Calabi-Yau structure. Such almost complex structures will be called ω-*admissible*.

First of all, we give an example of a non-admissible almost complex structure on a 6-dimensional compact symplectic manifold. This manifold provides an example of an almost Kähler manifold whose Chern connection has holonomy non-contained in SU(3). Then, we study the infinitesimal deformations of the space of ω-admissible almost complex structures and we compute the virtual tangent space to the moduli space. In the last part we apply our results to the torus showing that the standard complex structures is not rigid.

In section 2 we recall some preliminary results on complex manifolds and Hermitian geometry and give the basic definitions. In section 3 we give the example described above and an example of a compact almost complex 6-manifold which admits symplectic Calabi-Yau structures, but has no symplectic half-flat structure.

In section 4 we study the infinitesimal deformations of the space of ω-admissible almost complex structures $\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$. We introduce the deformation form θ_L (see subsection 4.2 for the precise definition), which is a 1-form depending on the choice of an endomorphism of TM and on a complex $\overline{\partial}$-closed volume form, and we prove the following

Theorem. *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold. Fix $J\in\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ and consider a smooth curve J_t in $\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ close to J and satisfying $J_0=0$. Then the derivative $\dot{J}_0$ of J_t at 0 is given by*

$$\dot{J}_0 = 2\,JL,$$

where $L\in\mathrm{End}(TM)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$L={}^tL\,,\quad LJ=-JL$$

and for any nowhere vanishing $\overline{\partial}_J$-closed $\psi\in\Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ the deformation form $\theta_L(\psi)$ is $\overline{\partial}_J$-exact.

A key tool to prove this theorem is proposition 4.2, which describes the relationship between the $\overline{\partial}$-operators of two close almost complex structures (see section 4).

In the compact case the previous theorem allows to define the virtual tangent space to the moduli space of admissible almost complex structures

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M))=\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)/\mathrm{Sp}_\omega(M)\,.$$

In particular we can introduce the concepts of *unobstructed* and *rigid* ω-admissible almost complex structures. See proposition 4.8 and definition 4.9.

In section 5 we apply the results of section 4 to to the complex torus, showing that its standard complex structure is not rigid.

This paper has originated from a series of seminars given in Florence. We would like to thank Paolo de Bartolomeis for useful comments and remarks. We also thank Paul Gauduchon for his precious help.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M,J) be an almost complex manifold manifold. Then the bundle of complex valued r-forms $\Lambda^r_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ decomposes as

$$\Lambda^r_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = \bigoplus_{p+q=r} \Lambda^{p,q}_J(M)\,,$$

where $\Lambda^{p,q}_J(M)$ is the bundle of (p,q)-forms on (M,J). According with the above decomposition, the exterior derivative

$$d\colon \Lambda^r_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \to \Lambda^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$$

splits as

$$d\colon \Lambda^{p,q}_J(M) \to \Lambda^{p+2,q-1}_J(M) \oplus \Lambda^{p+1,q}_J(M) \oplus \Lambda^{p,q+1}_J(M) \oplus \Lambda^{p-1,q+2}_J(M)\,,$$
$$d = A_J + \partial_J + \overline{\partial}_J + \overline{A}_J\,.$$

Let ω be an almost symplectic structure on M, i.e. ω is a non-degenerate 2-form on M. An almost complex structure J is said to be ω-*tamed* if

$$\omega[x](v, J_x v) > 0$$

for any $x \in M$, $v \in T_xM$, $v \neq 0$.

If J satisfies the extra condition

$$\omega[x](J_x v, J_x w) = \omega[x](v,w)\,,$$

for any $x \in M$, $v,w \in T_xM$, then J is said to be ω-*calibrated*. In this case

$$g_J[x](v,w) := \omega[x](v, J_x w)\,,$$

defines an almost Hermitian metric on M (i.e. a J-invariant Riemannian metric). We denote by $\mathcal{T}_\omega(M)$ and by $\mathcal{C}_\omega(M)$ the space of ω-tamed and ω-calibrated almost complex structures on M respectively. It is well known that $\mathcal{T}_\omega(M)$ is a contractible space (see e.g. [2]). In particular, the first Chern class of (M,J) does not depend on the choice of $J \in \mathcal{T}_\omega(M)$. Hence it is well defined the first Chern class of (M,ω).

By definition, an almost complex structure J is said to be *integrable* (or a *complex structure*) if the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N_J(X,Y) = [JX,JY] - J[JX,Y] - J[X,JY] - [X,Y]$$

vanishes.

In the sequel we will use the following fact (see e.g. [13]):

let (M,J) be a compact almost complex manifold and $f\colon M \to \mathbb{C}$ be a J-holomorphic function, then f is constant.

Now we are going to recall the definition of *symplectic Calabi-Yau structure*. Let (M,ω,J) be an almost Kähler manifold and let ∇^{LC} be the Levi Civita connection of the metric g_J associated with (ω,J). Then the Chern connection is defined as

$$\nabla = \nabla^{LC} - \frac{1}{2} J \nabla^{LC} J$$

and it satisfies the following properties

$$\nabla g = 0\,, \quad \nabla J = 0\,, \quad T^{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2} N_J\,,$$

T^{∇} being the torsion of ∇.

Remark 2.1. We recall that $\nabla^{0,1} = \overline{\partial}_J$ (see e.g. [8]), where $\nabla^{0,1}$ denotes the $(0,1)$-component of ∇.

We have the following definition (see [5])

Definition 2.2. *A* symplectic Calabi-Yau *manifold consists of* (M,ω,J,ψ)*, where* (M,ω) *is a* $2n$*-dimensional symplectic manifold,* J *is an* ω*-calibrated almost complex structure on* M *and* ψ *is a nowhere vanishing* $(n,0)$*-form on* M *satisfying*

$$\nabla\psi = 0\,,$$

where ∇ *is the Chern connection of* (ω,J)*.*

Remark 2.3. Note that a Calabi-Yau manifold is in particular a symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold. Indeed in this case the Chern connection is the Levi Civita one, since J is integrable.

In the 6-dimensional case we can improve the previous definition by requiring that the real part of ψ is d-closed; namely

Definition 2.4. *A* symplectic half-flat manifold *is the datum of* (M,ω,J,ψ)*, where* (M,ω) *is a* 6*-dimensional symplectic manifold,* J *is an* ω*-calibrated almost complex structure on* M*,* ψ *is a nowhere vanishing* $(3,0)$*-form such that*

$$\nabla\psi = 0\,,\quad \psi\wedge\overline{\psi} = -\frac{4}{3}i\,\omega^3\,,\quad d\mathfrak{Re}\,\psi = 0\,.$$

These structures are just the intersection between symplectic and half-flat ones. The latter have been introduced and studied by Hitchin and Chiossi-Salamon (see [11] and [3]). In this situation is possible to perform (special) Lagrangian geometry by considering Lagrangian submanifolds calibrated by $\mathfrak{Re}\,\psi$ (see [4]).

Remark 2.5. Note that the condition $\nabla\psi = 0$ is redundant since it can be showed that, given a nowhere vanishing $\psi\in\Lambda^{3,0}_J(M)$, then the following facts are equivalent (see [5])

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\psi\wedge\overline{\psi} = i\lambda\,\omega^3\\ d\mathfrak{Re}\,\psi = 0\end{array}\right. \iff \left\{\begin{array}{l}\nabla\psi = 0\\ (A_J+\overline{A}_J)\psi = 0\,,\end{array}\right.$$

where $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, $\lambda\neq 0$ and $A_J\colon\Lambda^{p,q}_J(M)\to\Lambda^{p+2,q-1}_J(M)$ denotes the $(p+2,q-1)$-component of the exterior derivative of a (p,q)-form.

We introduce now the definition of *admissible almost complex structures.* Namely, if (M,ω) is a symplectic manifold, an ω-calibrated almost complex structure J on M will be called *admissible* if there exists $\psi\in\Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ such that the triple (ω,J,ψ) is a symplectic Calabi-Yau structure on M. More precisely we state the following

Definition 2.6. *Let* (M,ω) *be a symplectic manifold. An almost complex structure* J *on* M *is said to be* ω-admissible *if*

1. J *is* ω*-calibrated;*
2. *there exists a nowhere vanishing* $\psi\in\Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ *such that* $\overline{\partial}_J\psi = 0$*.*

In the next sections we will study some properties of admissible almost complex structures and we will introduce the Moduli space of such structures.

3. Examples

We start by giving an example of an almost complex structure which admits a symplectic Calabi-Yau structure, but it has no symplectic half-flat structures.

Example 3.1. Let

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

be the complex Heisenberg group and let $\Gamma \subset G$ be the subgroup with integral entries. Then $M = G/\Gamma$ is the *Iwasawa manifold*. It is known that M is symplectic, but it has no Kähler structures (see [6]).

Let $z_r = x_r + i x_{r+3}$, $r = 1, 2, 3$, and set

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 = dx_1\,, \quad \alpha_2 = dx_3 - x_1 dx_2 + x_4 dx_5\,, \quad \alpha_3 = dx_5, \\ &\alpha_4 = dx_4\,, \quad \alpha_5 = dx_2\,, \quad \alpha_6 = dx_6 - x_4 dx_2 - x_1 dx_5\,, \end{aligned}$$

then $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6\}$ are invariant 1-forms on G, so that $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6\}$ is a global coframe on M. We immediately get

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_3 = d\alpha_4 = d\alpha_5 = 0 \\ d\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_5 - \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 \\ d\alpha_6 = -\alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5 - \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3\,. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_6\}$ be the dual frame of $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6\}$; then

$$\begin{cases} J(\xi_r) = \xi_{r+3} & r = 1, 2, 3 \\ J(\xi_{3+r}) = -\xi_r & r = 1, 2, 3\,, \end{cases}$$

defines an almost complex structure on M calibrated by the symplectic form

$$\omega = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_5 + \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_6\,.$$

Let $\psi = (\alpha_1 + i\,\alpha_4) \wedge (\alpha_2 + i\,\alpha_5) \wedge (\alpha_3 + i\,\alpha_6)$, then a direct computation gives

$$\begin{cases} \psi \wedge \overline{\psi} = -\frac{4}{3} i\,\omega^3 \\ \overline{\partial}_J \psi = 0\,. \end{cases}$$

Hence the conditions above and remark 2.1 imply

$$\nabla \psi = 0\,.$$

Hence (ω, J, ψ) is a symplectic Calabi-Yau structure on M.

Now we prove that there are no nowhere vanishing (3,0)-forms η on M such that

$$d\mathfrak{Re}\,\eta = 0\,.$$

In particular (M, J) does not admit any symplectic half-flat structure.

In order to show this let $\eta \in \Lambda^{3,0}_J(M)$; then there exists $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\eta = f\,\psi\,.$$

Let $f = u + iv$ and set

$$du = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i\,\alpha_i\,, \quad dv = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i\,\alpha_i\,.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathfrak{Re}\,\eta =&(u_6+v_3)\,\alpha_{3456}+(v_2+u_5)\,\alpha_{2456}+(-u_1+v_4)\,\alpha_{1345}+\\ &+(-u_6-v_3)\,\alpha_{1236}(-u_3+v_6)\,\alpha_{2346}+(-u_5-v_2)\,\alpha_{1235}+\\ &+(u_1-v_4)\,\alpha_{1246}+(u_3-v_6)\,\alpha_{1356}+v\,\alpha_{1245}+\\ &-v\,\alpha_{1346}+(u+u_4+v_1)\,\alpha_{1456}+(u-u_4-v_1)\,\alpha_{1234}+\\ &+(-u_2+v_5)\,\alpha_{2345}+(u_2-v_5)\,\alpha_{1256}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{ijhk}=\alpha_i\wedge\alpha_j\wedge\alpha_h\wedge\alpha_k$. Hence $d\mathfrak{Re}\,\eta=0$ if and only if $u=v=0$. $\square$

The next nilmanifold provides an example of a compact almost complex manifold with vanishing first Chern class and such that there are no Hermitian metrics whose Chern connection has holonomy contained in SU(3). This is in contrast with the integrable case, in view of Calabi-Yau theorem. For other examples involving the Bismut connection see [7].

Example 3.2. Let

$$H(3)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}1&x&y\\0&1&t\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\;:\;x,y,t\in\mathbb{R}\right\}$$

be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and let $\Gamma\subset H(3)$ be the cocompact lattice of matrices with integral entries. Then $X=S^1\times H(3)/\Gamma$ is called the *Kodaira-Thurston* manifold.

Let $M=\mathbb{T}^2\times X$, where $\mathbb{T}^2$ is the 2-dimensional standard torus. Then M can be viewed as $\mathbb{R}^6/\sim$, where

$$[(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5,x_6)]=[(x_1+m_1,x_2+m_2,x_3+m_3,x_4+m_4,x_5+m_5,x_6+m_4x_5+m_6)]\,,$$

for any $(m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4,m_5,m_6)\in\mathbb{Z}^6$. The 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1=dx_1\,,\quad \alpha_2=dx_2\,,\quad \alpha_3=dx_3\,,\\ &\alpha_4=dx_4\,,\quad \alpha_5=dx_5\,,\quad \alpha_6=dx_6-x_4dx_5\,, \end{aligned}$$

define a global coframe on M. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &d\alpha_i=0\,,\ \text{for } i=1,\dots,5\,,\\ &d\alpha_6=-\alpha_4\wedge\alpha_5\,. \end{aligned}$$

The 2-form

$$\omega=\alpha_1\wedge\alpha_2+\alpha_3\wedge\alpha_4+\alpha_5\wedge\alpha_6\,,$$

is a symplectic structure on M. Let J be the almost complex structure defined on the dual frame of $\{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_6\}$ by the relations

$$\begin{array}{lll} J(X_1):=X_2, & J(X_3):=X_4, & J(X_5):=X_6,\\ J(X_2):=-X_1, & J(X_4):=-X_3, & J(X_6):=-X_5\,. \end{array}$$

J is an ω-calibrated almost complex structure on M. The form

$$\psi:=(\alpha_1+i\alpha_2)\wedge(\alpha_3+i\alpha_4)\wedge(\alpha_5+i\alpha_6)$$

is a nowhere vanishing section of $\Lambda^{3,0}_J(M)$. We have

$$\overline{\partial}_J\psi=-(\alpha_3-i\alpha_4)\wedge\psi\,.$$

Now we prove that there are no nowhere vanishing (3,0)-forms η on M such that $\overline{\partial}_J\eta = 0$. Set

$$Z_j = \frac{1}{2}(X_j - iJX_j)\,, \quad j = 1,2,3$$

and

$$\zeta_j = \alpha_j + iJ\alpha_j\,, \quad j = 1,2,3\,.$$

Any $\eta \in \Lambda^{3,0}_J(M)$ is a multiple of ψ, i.e. there exists a function $f = u + iv \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$ such that $\psi = f\,\eta$. Then

$$\overline{\partial}_J\eta = \overline{\partial}_J(f\psi) = \overline{\partial}_J f\wedge\psi + f\overline{\partial}_J\psi = (\sum_{j=1}^{3}\overline{Z}_j(f)\,\overline{\zeta}_j - f\,\overline{\zeta}_2)\wedge\psi\,.$$

Therefore $\overline{\partial}_J\eta = 0$ if and only if the following systems of PDE's are satisfied:

$$\text{a.}\ \begin{cases}\partial_{x_1}u - \partial_{x_2}v = 0\\ \partial_{x_2}u + \partial_{x_1}v = 0\,,\end{cases}$$

$$\text{b.}\ \begin{cases}\partial_{x_3}u - \partial_{x_4}v - u = 0\\ \partial_{x_4}u + \partial_{x_3}v - v = 0\,,\end{cases}$$

$$\text{c.}\ \begin{cases}\partial_{x_6}v - \partial_{x_5}u - x_4\partial_{x_6}u = 0\\ \partial_{x_6}u + \partial_{x_5}v + x_4\partial_{x_6}v = 0\,,\end{cases}$$

where the unknowns u, v are functions on $\mathbb{R}^6$ satisfying

$$u(x) = u(x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2, x_3 + m_3, x_4 + m_4, x_5 + m_5, x_6 + m_4x_5 + m_6)\,,$$
$$v(x) = v(x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2, x_3 + m_3, x_4 + m_4, x_5 + m_5, x_6 + m_4x_5 + m_6)$$

for any $m_1, \ldots, m_6 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Equations a. imply that $f = f(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$. Since f is a function on M, then f is $\mathbb{Z}-$periodic in x_3, x_5, x_6. Therefore we can take the Fourier expansion of u and v. Set

$$u(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^3} u_N(x_4)\, e^{2\pi i(n_3x_3 + n_5x_5 + n_6x_6)}\,,$$
$$v(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^3} v_N(x_4)\, e^{2\pi i(n_3x_3 + n_5x_5 + n_6x_6)}\,,$$

where $N = (n_3, n_5, n_6)$. Then

$$\partial_{x_5}u = \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^3} 2\pi i n_5 u_N(x_4)\, e^{2\pi i(n_3x_3 + n_5x_5 + n_6x_6)}\,, \tag{2}$$

and the same relation holds for $\partial_{x_6}u$, $\partial_{x_5}v$, $\partial_{x_6}v$. Hence, by plugging (2) and the other expressions for the derivatives of u, v into equations c., we get

$$\begin{cases}(n_5 + x_4n_6)\,u_N(x_4) - n_6\,v_N(x_4) = 0\\ n_6u_N\,(x_4) + (n_5 + x_4n_6)\,v_N(x_4) = 0\,,\end{cases}$$

for any $N = (n_3, n_5, n_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. If $(n_5 + x_4n_6)^2 + n_6^2 \neq 0$ then $u_N(x_4) = v_N(x_4) = 0$. Therefore if f satisfies equations a. and c. then $f = f(x_3, x_4)$. In particular f

must be $\mathbb{Z}^2$-periodic. By equations b. we immediately get $f \equiv 0$. Hence J is not admissible.

4. MODULI SPACES OF ADMISSIBLE ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with vanishing first Chern class. By using notation of section 2 let

$$\mathcal{C}_\omega(M) = \{J \in \mathrm{End}(TM) \,|\, J^2 = -I\,,\ \omega(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(J\cdot, J\cdot)\,,\ \omega(\cdot, J\cdot) > 0\}$$

be the space of ω-calibrated almost complex structures on M.
Let J be an ω-calibrated almost complex structure; then we say that $\widetilde{J} \in \mathcal{C}_\omega(M)$ is *close* to J if $\det(I - \widetilde{J}J) \neq 0$. It is known that the space of ω-calibrated almost complex structures close to a fixed J is parametrized by the tangent bundle symmetric endomorphisms anti-commuting with J and having norm less than 1: namely $\widetilde{J}$ is close to J if and only if there exists a unique $L \in \mathrm{End}(TM)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{J} = RJR^{-1}\,, \\ {}^tL = L\,, \\ LJ = -JL\,, \\ ||L|| < 1\,, \end{cases}$$

where $R = I + L$ and the norm $||\cdot||$ is taken with respect to g_J (see [2]).

Denote by

$$\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M) = \{J \in \mathcal{C}_\omega(M) \,|\ J \text{ is } \omega - \text{admissible}\}\,.$$

Then the *symplectic group*

$$\mathrm{Sp}_\omega(M) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \mid \phi^*\omega = \omega\ \}$$

acts on $\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ by conjugation

$$(\phi, J) \longmapsto \phi_*^{-1} \circ J \circ \phi_*\,.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)) = \mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)/\mathrm{Sp}_\omega(M)$$

be the relative *Moduli Space.*

4.1. Deformation of $\overline{\partial}_J$.

In order to give a description of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M))$, we have to describe the behavior of the $\overline{\partial}$ operator for an almost complex structure $\widetilde{J}$ close to a fixed J. We start considering the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let $R = I + L$ be an arbitrary isomorphism of TM. Then*

$$RdR^{-1}\gamma = d\gamma + [\tau_L, d]\gamma + \sigma_L\gamma \tag{3}$$

for any exterior form α in M of positive degree, where:

- *τ_L is the zero order derivation defined on the r-forms by*

$$\tau_L\gamma(X_1, X_2 \ldots, X_r) = \gamma(LX_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) + \gamma(X_1, LX_2, \ldots, X_n) + \\ \cdots + \gamma(X_1, X_2, \ldots, LX_n)\,;$$

- *$[\tau_L, d] = \tau_L d - d\tau_L$;*

- *σ_L is the operator defined on the 1-form as*
$$\sigma_L\alpha(X,Y):=\alpha(R^{-1}(N_L(X,Y)))\,,$$
being $N_L(X,Y):=[LX,LY]-L[LX,Y]-L[X,LY]+L^2[X,Y]$, and extended on the forms of arbitrary degree by the Leibniz rule.

Proof. Let $\alpha\in\Lambda^1(M)$ and let $X,Y\in TM$. We have
$$\begin{aligned}RdR^{-1}\alpha(X,Y)=&dR^{-1}\alpha(RX,RY)=RX\alpha(Y)-RY\alpha(X)+\alpha(R^{-1}[RX,RY])\\ =&X\alpha(Y)-Y\alpha(X)+\alpha([X,Y])+LX\alpha(Y)-LY\alpha(X)\\ &+\alpha(R^{-1}[RX,RY]-[X,Y])\\ =&d\alpha(X,Y)+LX\alpha(Y)-LY\alpha(X)+\alpha(R^{-1}[RX,RY]-[X,Y])\,.\end{aligned}$$
Moreover
$$\begin{aligned}\tau_L d\alpha(X,Y)=&d\alpha(LX,Y)+d\alpha(X,LY)\\ =&LX\alpha(Y)-Y\alpha(LX)+\alpha([LX,Y])+X\alpha(LY)-LY\alpha(X)+\\ &\alpha([X,LY])\end{aligned}$$
and
$$d\tau_L\alpha(X,Y)=X\alpha(LY)-Y\alpha(LX)+\alpha(L[X,Y])\,.$$
Therefore we obtain
$$\begin{aligned}(RdR^{-1}-[\tau_L,d])\alpha(X,Y)=&d\alpha(X,Y)+\\ &\alpha(R^{-1}[RX,RY]-[LX,Y]-[X,LY]+L[X,Y]-[X,Y])\end{aligned}$$
Now we have
$$\begin{aligned}&R(R^{-1}[RX,RY]-[LX,Y]-[X,LY]+L[X,Y]-[X,Y])=\\ &=[RX,RY]-R[LX,Y]-R[X,LY]+RL[X,Y]-R[X,Y]=\\ &=[LX,LY]+[LX,Y]+[X,LY]+[X,Y]-[LX,Y]-L[LX,Y]\\ &\quad-[X,LY]-L[X,LY]+L[X,Y]+L^2[X,Y]-[X,Y]=\\ &=N_L(X,Y)\,,\end{aligned}$$
i.e.
$$R^{-1}[RX,RY]-[LX,Y]-[X,LY]+L[X,Y]-[X,Y])=R^{-1}(N_L(X,Y))$$
Hence we have
$$(RdR^{-1}-[\tau_L,d])\alpha(X,Y)=d\alpha(X,Y)+\alpha(R^{-1}(N_L(X,Y)))$$
which proves the proposition when α is a 1-form. Since the operators on the two sides of formula (3) satisfy Leibniz rule, the proof is complete. $\square$

Now we are ready to give the following

Proposition 4.2. *Let $J,\widetilde{J}$ be close almost complex structures in $\mathcal{C}_\omega(M)$. Let $\overline{\partial}_J$, $\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}$ be the $\overline{\partial}$-operators with respect to J, $\widetilde{J}$ respectively. Then*

1. $R\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}f=\overline{\partial}_J f+L\partial_J f$,
2. $R\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}R^{-1}\alpha=\overline{\partial}_J\alpha+[\tau_L,d]^{p,q+1}\alpha+\sigma_L^{p,q+1}\alpha$,

where $f\in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$, $\alpha\in\Lambda^{p,q}_{\widetilde{J}}(M)$, $\widetilde{J}=RJR^{-1}$, $R=I+L$, $[\tau_L,d]^{p,q+1}$, $(\sigma_L)^{p,q+1}$ denote the projection of the bracket $[\tau_L,d]=\tau_L d-d\tau_L$ and of the operator σ_L on the space $\Lambda^{p,q+1}_J(M)$, respectively.

Proof. 1. Let $f \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$. We have

$$R\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}} f = R(df)^{\widetilde{0,1}} = (Rdf)^{0,1} = (df + Ldf)^{0,1} = \overline{\partial}_J f + Ldf^{0,1} = \overline{\partial}_J f + L\partial_J f^{0,1}\,,$$

where the subscript $\widetilde{0,1}$ denotes the projection onto $\Lambda^{0,1}_{\widetilde{J}}(M)$.

2. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p,q}_J(M)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}} R^{-1}\alpha &= R(dR^{-1}\alpha)^{\widetilde{p,q+1}} = (RdR^{-1}\alpha)^{p,q+1}\\ &= (d\alpha)^{p,q+1} + [\tau_L, d]^{p,q+1}\alpha + \sigma_L^{q,p+1}\alpha\\ &= \overline{\partial}_J\alpha + [\tau_L, d]^{p,q+1}\alpha + \sigma_L^{q,p+1}\alpha\,, \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript $\widetilde{p,q+1}$ denotes the projection onto $\Lambda^{p,q+1}_{\widetilde{J}}(M)$. □

4.2. **The deformation form.** In this subsection we introduce a $(0,1)$-form which will be a useful tool to study infinitesimal deformations of admissible almost complex structures.

Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold, $J \in \mathcal{C}_\omega(M)$ and $\psi \in \Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ nowhere vanishing. For any endomorphism L of TM anticommuting with J there exist unique forms $\mu_L(\psi)$, $\tau_L(\psi) \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ satisfying the following relations

$$(\tau_L\overline{A}_J\,\psi)^{n,1} = \mu_L(\psi)\wedge\psi\,,\quad \partial_J\tau_L\,\psi = \gamma_L(\psi)\wedge\psi\,.$$

Definition 4.3. *The* $(0,1)$*-form*

$$\theta_L(\psi) := \mu_L(\psi) - \gamma_L(\psi)$$

is called the deformation form *of* L*.*

Note that if J is integrable, then $\theta_L(\psi) = -\gamma_L(\psi)$, since $\overline{A}_J = 0$.

The following lemma gives the behavior of $\theta_L(\psi)$ when the complex volume form ψ changes:

Lemma 4.4. *Let* ψ, $\psi' \in \Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ *be* $\overline{\partial}_J$*-closed. Let* $\{Z_1,\dots,Z_n\}$ *be a local* $(1,0)$*-frame and* $\{\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n\}$ *be the dual frame.*
Then

1. $\mu_L(\psi') = \mu_L(\psi)\,,$
2. $\gamma_L(\psi') = \gamma_L(\psi) + \eta(f)\,,$

where $\psi' = f\psi$ *and* $\eta(f)$ *is the* $(0,1)$*-form defined locally as*

$$\eta(f) = -\frac{1}{f}\sum_{k,r=1}^n Z_k(f)L_{k\overline{r}}\,\overline{\zeta}_r\,,$$

where

$$L(Z_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n L_{\overline{k}i}\,\overline{Z}_k\,.$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_L(\psi')\wedge\psi' =& (\tau_L\overline{A}_J\psi')^{n,1} = (\tau_L\overline{A}_J f\psi)^{n,1} = f(\tau_L\overline{A}_J\psi)^{n,1}\\ =& f\,\mu_L(\psi)\wedge\psi = \mu_L(\psi)\wedge\psi'\,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore 1. is proved.
We have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_L(\psi')\wedge\psi' &= \partial_J\tau_L\psi' = \partial_J\tau_L f\psi\\ &= \partial_J f\wedge\tau_L\psi + f\,\partial_J\tau_L\psi\\ &= \partial_J f\wedge\tau_L\psi + f\,\gamma_L(\psi)\wedge\psi\\ &= \partial_J f\wedge\tau_L\psi + \gamma_L(\psi)\wedge\psi'\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now we express $\partial_J f\wedge\tau_L\psi$ in terms of ψ'. With respect to the local (1,0)-frame $\{Z_1,\dots,Z_n\}$ we get

$$\psi = h\,\zeta_1\wedge\dots\wedge\zeta_n\,,$$

where h is a local nowhere vanishing smooth function and

$$\partial_J f = \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k(f)\,\zeta_k\,.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_L\psi &= h\,L(\zeta_1)\wedge\dots\wedge\zeta_n + \dots + h\,\zeta_1\wedge\dots\wedge L(\zeta_n)\\ &= h\sum_{r=1}^n\{L_{1\bar r}\,\overline{\zeta}_r\wedge\dots\wedge\zeta_n\} + \dots + h\sum_{r=1}^n\{(-1)^{n-1}L_{n\bar r}\,\overline{\zeta}_r\wedge\dots\wedge\zeta_{n-1}\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_J f\wedge\tau_L\psi &= -\sum_{k,r=1}^n Z_k(f)L_{k\bar r}\,\overline{\zeta}_r\wedge\psi\\ &= -\frac{1}{f}\sum_{k,r=1}^n Z_k(f)L_{k\bar r}\,\overline{\zeta}_r\wedge\psi'\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\gamma_L(\psi') = \gamma_L(\psi) + \eta(f)\,,$$

i.e. 2. is proved. □

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that (M,ω) is compact. Then the deformation form θ_L does not depend on the choice of the $\overline{\partial}_J$-closed complex volume form on M.*

Proof. Since M is compact if ψ,ψ' are two complex volume form on M satisfying $\overline{\partial}_J\psi = \overline{\partial}_J\psi' = 0$, then $\psi = c\,\psi'$ for some constant c on M. Hence by lemma 4.4

$$\theta_L(\psi) = \theta_L(\psi')$$

for any $L\in\mathrm{End}(TM)$ anticommuting with J. □

4.3. **Infinitesimal deformations of admissible complex structures.**

In this subsection we compute the infinitesimal deformations of admissible almost complex structures on a symplectic manifold. We start with the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold. Fix $J\in\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ and consider a smooth curve J_t in $\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ of almost complex structures close to J satisfying $J_0 = 0$. Then the derivative $\dot J_0$ of J_t at 0 is given by*

$$\dot J_0 = 2\,JL,$$

where $L\in\mathrm{End}(TM)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$L = {}^tL\,,\quad LJ = -JL$$

and for any nowhere vanishing $\overline{\partial}_J$*-closed* $\psi \in \Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ *the* $(0,1)$*-form* $\theta_L(\psi)$ *is* $\overline{\partial}_J$*-exact.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in \Lambda^{n,0}_J(M)$ be a nowhere vanishing complex form satisfying $\overline{\partial}_J\psi = 0$. Fix an ω-calibrated almost complex structure $\widetilde{J}$ close to J. Then

$$\widetilde{J} = RJR^{-1}\,,$$

where

$$R = I + L\,, \quad L = {}^tL\,, \quad LJ + JL = 0\,, \quad \|L\| < 1\,.$$

The form $R^{-1}\psi \in \Lambda^{n,0}_{\widetilde{J}}(M)$ is nowhere vanishing. Any other section ψ' which trivializes $\Lambda^{n,0}_{\widetilde{J}}(M)$ is a multiple of ψ, namely

$$\psi' = f\,R^{-1}\psi\,,$$

with $f \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$, $f(p) \neq 0$ for any $p \in M$.
Hence the almost complex structure $\widetilde{J}$ is ω-admissible if and only if there exists $f \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}(fR^{-1}\psi) = 0\,,$$

where $f \neq 0$.
By formulae of proposition 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}(fR^{-1}\psi) =& R(\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}f \wedge R^{-1}\psi + f\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}R^{-1}\psi)\\
=& R(\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}f) \wedge \psi + fR\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}R^{-1}\psi\\
=& \overline{\partial}_J f \wedge \psi + L\partial_J f \wedge \psi + f(\overline{\partial}_J\psi + [\tau_L, d]^{n,1}\psi + (\sigma_L)^{n,1}\psi)\\
=& \overline{\partial}_J f \wedge \psi + L\partial_J f \wedge \psi + f([\tau_L, d]^{n,1}\psi + (\sigma_L)^{n,1}\psi)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$R\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}(fR^{-1}\psi) = \overline{\partial}_J f \wedge \psi + L\partial_J f \wedge \psi + f[\tau_L, d]^{n,1}\psi + f(\sigma_L)^{n,1}\psi\,. \tag{4}$$

Let us consider a smooth curve of ω-admissible complex structures J_t close to J, such that $J_0 = J$. For any t there exists $L_t \in \mathrm{End}(TM)$ such that if $R_t = I + L_t$, then

$$J_t = R_tJR_t^{-1}\,,$$

for $L_tJ + JL_t = 0$, $\|L_t\| < 1$. We may assume $L_0 = 0$. We set $\dot{L}_0 = L$. Then

$$\dot{J}_0 = JL - LJ = 2\,JL\,.$$

For any t there exists $f_t\colon M \to \mathbb{C}$, $f_t \neq 0$, such that

$$\overline{\partial}_{J_t}(f_tR_t^{-1}\psi) = 0\,.$$

Hence by formula (4) J_t is ω-admissible if and only exists $f_t\colon M \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $f_t \neq 0$ and

$$\overline{\partial}_J f_t \wedge \psi + L_t\partial_J f_t \wedge \psi + f_t[\tau_{L_t}, d]^{n,1}\psi + f_t(\sigma_{L_t})^{n,1}\psi = 0\,. \tag{5}$$

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$f_0 = 1\,.$$

Since $\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_{L_t}|_{t=0} = 0$, by taking the derivative of (5) at $t = 0$ we get

$$\overline{\partial}_J\dot{f}_0 \wedge \psi + [\tau_L, d]^{n,1}\psi = 0\,. \tag{6}$$

Let us compute $[\tau_L, d]^{n,1}\psi$. Since $d = A_J + \partial_J + \overline{\partial}_J + \overline{A}_J$, we have

$$(\tau_L d\psi)^{n,1} = (\tau_L \overline{A}_J \psi)^{n,1}\,,$$
$$(d\tau_L\psi)^{n,1} = \partial_J \tau_L \psi\,.$$

By the definition of $\mu_L(\psi)$, $\gamma_L(\psi)$ we have

$$(\tau_L \overline{A}_J \psi)^{n,1} = \mu_L(\psi)\wedge\psi\,,\quad \partial_J\tau_L\psi = \gamma_L(\psi)\wedge\psi\,.$$

Hence (6) reduces to

$$\overline{\partial}_J \dot{f}_0 \wedge \psi + \mu_L(\psi)\wedge\psi - \gamma_L(\psi)\wedge\psi = 0\,,$$

so that (6) is equivalent to

$$\overline{\partial}_J \dot{f}_0 + \mu_L(\psi) - \gamma_L(\psi) = 0\,,$$

i.e. $\theta_L(\psi)$ is $\overline{\partial}_J$-exact. □

We give the following

Definition 4.7. *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold and $J \in \mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$. The tangent space to the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M))$ at $[J]$ is the vector space*

$$T_{[J]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)) := \frac{\{\dot\gamma(0)\mid \gamma\colon(-\epsilon,\epsilon)\to\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)\ \text{ is a smooth curve s.t. } \gamma(0)=J\}}{T_J\mathcal{O}_J(M)}\,,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_J(M)$ denotes the orbit of J under the action of $\mathrm{Sp}_\omega(M)$.

As a corollary of theorem 4.6 we have the following

Proposition 4.8. *Let (M,ω) be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and let $J\in\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$. Then the tangent space to the Moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M))$ at the point $[J]$ satisfies*

$$T_{[J]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)) \subseteq \frac{\{JL\in\mathrm{End}(TM)\mid L={}^tL\,,LJ=-JL\,,\theta_L \text{ is } \overline{\partial}_J-\text{exact}\}}{\{\mathcal{L}_XJ\mid X\in TM \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_X\omega=0\}}\,.$$

Proof. By corollary 4.5 the $(0,1)$-form θ_L does not depend on the choice of the volume form ψ. Therefore, by theorem 4.6, if J_t is a smooth curve in $\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ satisfying $J_0 = J$, then $\dot J_0 = 2\,JL$, where L is a symmetric endomorphism of TM anticommuting with J and such that θ_L is $\overline{\partial}_J$-exact. A standard argument shows that

$$T_J\mathcal{O}_J(M) = \{\mathcal{L}_XJ\mid X\in TM \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_X\omega=0\}$$

and this completes the proof □

In analogy to the classical case, it is natural to consider the following

Definition 4.9. *The vector space*

$$TV_{[J]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)) := \frac{\{JL\in\mathrm{End}(TM)\mid L={}^tL\,,LJ=-JL\,,\theta_L \text{ is } \overline{\partial}_J-\text{exact}\}}{\{\mathcal{L}_XJ\mid X\in TM \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_X\omega=0\}}$$

will be called the virtual tangent space *to the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M))$ at $[J]$.*

An ω-admissible almost complex structure will be called

- non-obstructed *if $T_{[J]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)) = TV_{[J]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M))$,*
- rigid *if $TV_{[J]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)) = \{0\}$.*

We end this section with the following

Remark 4.10. We observe the following:

i) In contrast to the case of deformation of complex structures (see e.g. [15]), in this context, as far as we know, the fact that J in non-obstructed cannot be interpreted as a cohomological condition, since the $\overline{\partial}_J$-operator does not give rise to a cohomology on the manifold;

ii) All the considerations made in this section can be done by considering almost symplectic manifolds instead of symplectic manifolds.

5. Admissible complex structures on the Torus

In this section we apply the results of section 4 to the torus, computing explicitly the virtual tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{T}^{2n}))$.

Let $\mathbb{T}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ be the standard complex torus of real dimension $2n$ and let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $z_\alpha = x_\alpha + ix_{\alpha+n}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, n$.
Then

$$\omega_n = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^n dz_\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}_\alpha\,,$$
$$\psi_n = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$$

define a Calabi-Yau structure on $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$. Therefore the standard complex structure J_n is a ω_n-admissible complex structure on $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$.
Now we want to deform J_n computing the virtual tangent space $TV_{J_n}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_{\omega_n}(M))$ to the Moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_{\omega_n}(M))$. According to the previous section, given a symmetric $L \in \mathrm{End}(TM)$ that anticommutes with J_n, we have to write down the (0,1)-form $\gamma_L = -\theta_L$ defined by

$$\overline{\partial}_J(\tau_L\psi_n) = \gamma_L \wedge \psi_n\,.$$

Let

$$L = \sum_{s,r=1}^n \{L_{\overline{r}s} dz_s \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_r} + \overline{L}_{\overline{r}s}\, d\overline{z}_s \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r}\}\,,$$

where $\{L_{s\overline{r}}\}$ are $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$-periodic functions. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_L\psi_n &= L(dz_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n + \cdots + dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge L(dz_n)\\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n\{L_{1\overline{r}}\, d\overline{z}_r\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n\} + \cdots + \sum_{r=1}^n\{(-1)^{n-1}L_{n\overline{r}}\, d\overline{z}_r\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{n-1}\}\\ &= \sum_{r,s=1}^n (-1)^{r+1}L_{s\overline{r}}\, d\overline{z}_r\wedge dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{dz_s}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\ }$ means that the corresponding term is omitted. Therefore we obtain

$$\partial_J(\tau_L\psi) = -\sum_{r,s=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}L_{s\overline{r}}\, d\overline{z}_r\wedge\psi\,,$$

i.e.

$$\gamma_L = -\sum_{r,s=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}L_{s\overline{r}}\, d\overline{z}_r\,.$$

Then if $J_t \in \mathcal{AC}_\omega(M)$ is a smooth curve satisfying $J_0 = J_n$, then $\dot{J}_0 = 2\, J_n L$, where

$$L = {}^tL\,, \quad J_nL + LJ_n = 0$$

and the $(0,1)$-form

$$\gamma_L = -\sum_{r,s=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} L_{s\overline{r}}\, d\overline{z}_r$$

is $\overline{\partial}_{J_n}$-exact. In order to compute $TV_{J_n}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{T}^{2n}))$, we have to write down the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X J_n$, for $X \in \mathrm{End}(TM)$, such that $\mathcal{L}_X\omega_n = 0$. Let $X = \sum_{r=1}^{2n} a_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}$ be a real vector field on $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$, then a direct computation gives

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega_n = 0 \iff \left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_r}a_{n+s} - \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_s}a_{n+r} & = & 0 \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_r}a_{s} + \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+s}}a_{n+r} & = & 0 \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_r}a_{n+s} - \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_s}a_{n+r} & = & 0 \end{array}\right.$$

for $r, s = 1, \ldots, n$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X(J_n)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}\Big) =& \sum_{r=1}^{2n} -i\frac{\partial a_r}{\partial z_s}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} + \frac{\partial a_r}{\partial z_s} J_n\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\Big) \\
=& \sum_{r=1}^{2n} -i\frac{\partial a_r}{\partial z_s}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} + iJ_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\Big) \\
=& \sum_{r=1}^{n} -i\frac{\partial a_r}{\partial z_s}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+n}}\Big) - i\frac{\partial a_{r+n}}{\partial z_s}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+n}} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\Big) \\
=& -\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}(ia_r + a_{r+n})\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+n}}\Big) \\
=& -2\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}(ia_r + a_{r+n})\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_r}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_X(J_n) = -2\sum_{r,s=1}^{n}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}(ia_r + a_{r+n})\, dz_s \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(-ia_r + a_{r+n})\, d\overline{z}_s \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r}\Big\}\,.$$

Therefore $L = \mathcal{L}_X J_n$, with $\mathcal{L}_X\omega = 0$ if and only if

$$L_{\overline{r}s} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}(a_r - ia_{r+n})\,, \tag{7}$$

where $a_r\,, a_{r+n}$ are periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ satisfying

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_r}a_{n+s} - \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_s}a_{n+r} & = & 0 \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_r}a_{s} + \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+s}}a_{n+r} & = & 0 \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_r}a_{n+s} - \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_s}a_{n+r} & = & 0\,. \end{array}\right.$$

Now we show that the standard complex structure J_n on T^{2n} is not rigid.
In order to see this, we show that if L belongs to tangent space to the orbit of J_n at J_n and it has constant coefficients, then L is zero.
Let $J_nL \in \mathrm{End}(T\mathbb{T}^{2n})$, where L is symmetric, anticommutes with J_n and it is such that $L_{\overline{r}s}$ are constant functions.
By equation (7) there exists $X \in TM$ such that $J_nL = \mathcal{L}_X(J_n)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}(J_nL)_{\overline{r}s} &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}(a_r - ia_{r+n}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}(a_r - ia_{r+n}) - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{s+n}}(a_r - ia_{r+n}) \\ &= \Big(\frac{\partial a_r}{\partial x_s} - \frac{\partial a_{r+n}}{\partial x_{s+n}}\Big) - i\Big(\frac{\partial a_{r+n}}{\partial x_s} + \frac{\partial a_r}{\partial x_{s+n}}\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial a_r}{\partial x_s} - \dfrac{\partial a_{r+n}}{\partial x_{s+n}} & = \text{constant} \\ \dfrac{\partial a_{r+n}}{\partial x_s} + \dfrac{\partial a_r}{\partial x_{s+n}} & = \text{constant}\,, \end{cases}$$

that imply

$$\frac{\partial^2 a_r}{\partial x_s^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_r}{\partial x_{s+n}^2} = 0$$

for any $r, s = 1, \ldots, n$.
It follows that the $\{a_r\}$ are harmonic functions on the standard torus $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$ and then they are constant. Therefore any constant $0 \neq L \in \mathrm{End}(T\mathbb{T}^{2n})$ anticommuting with J_n defines a non-trivial element of $T_{[J_n]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AC}_{\omega_n}(M))$. Moreover any constant endomorphisms L_1, L_2 of such type give rise to different elements of $T_{[J_n]}\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{AT}_{\omega}(M))$. Hence J_n is not rigid.

References

[1] Apostolov V., Draghici T.: The curvature and the integrability of almost-Kähler manifolds: a survey, *Symplectic and contact topology: interactions and perspectives* (Toronto, ON/Montreal, QC, 2001), 25-53, Fields Inst. Commun., 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[2] *Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry.* Edited by Michéle Audin and Jacques Lafontaine. Progress in Mathematics, 117. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1994. xii+328 pp.

[3] Chiossi S., Salamon S.: The intrinsic torsion of SU(3) and G_2 structures. Differential geometry, Valencia, 2001, 115–133, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002.

[4] de Bartolomeis P.: Geometric Structures on Moduli Spaces of Special Lagrangian Submanifolds, *Ann. di Mat. Pura ed Applicata*, IV, Vol. CLXXIX, (2001), pp. 361–382.

[5] de Bartolomeis P., Tomassini A.: On the Maslov Index of Lagrangian Submanifolds of Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds, to appear in *Int. J. of Math.*.

[6] Cordero L. A., Fernández M., Gray A. Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structure, *Topology* 25 (1986), no. 3, pp. 375–380.

[7] Fino A., Grantcharov G.: Properties of manifolds with skew-symmetric torsion and special holonomy, *Adv. Math.* 189 (2004), no. 2, pp. 439–450.

[8] Gauduchon P.: Hermitian connections and Dirac operators. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7) 11 (1997), no. 2, suppl., pp. 257–288.

[9] Gromov M.: Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.* 82 (1985), no. 2, pp. 307–347.

[10] Gross M., Huybrechts D., Joyce D.: *Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*, Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. viii+239 pp.

[11] Hitchin N.J.: *Stable forms and special metrics*, Global differential geometry: the mathematical legacy of Alfred Gray (Bilbao, 2000), 70–89, Contemp. Math., 288, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[12] Hitchin N.: Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Q. J. Math.* 54 (2003), no. 3, pp. 281–308.

[13] Hopf E.: Elementare Bemerkungen ueber die Loesung parzieller Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung von elliptischen Typus, *Sitzungber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. phys. math. Kl.* 19 (1927), pp. 147–152.

[14] Joyce, Dominic D.: *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. xii+436 pp.

[15] Kodaira K.: *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, translated from the 1981 Japanese original by Kazuo Akao. Reprint of the 1986 English edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. x+465 pp.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Parma, Viale G. P. Usberti 53/A, 43100 Parma, Italy
E-mail address: `adriano.tomassini@unipr.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy
E-mail address: `luigi.vezzoni@unito.it`